

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/643,154	08/18/2003	Jeffrey E. Stahmann	GUID.103PA	3600	
51294 7750 120402008 HOLLINGSWORTH & FUNK, LLC 8009 34TH AVE S. SUITE 125 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55425			EXAMINER		
			ALTER, ALYSSA MARGO		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	10, 111 1 00 120		3762		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			12/04/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/643,154	STAHMANN ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Alyssa M. Alter	3762	

	Alyssa M. Alter	3762					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 15 July 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of a replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: (1 box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (1	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	n.				
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(1		FIRST REPLT WAS FIL	TED MITHIN 1446				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period avt under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (a) above, if checket. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1: ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>13 November 2008</u>. At the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply 	or any extension thereof (37 CFR 4	1.37(e)), to avoid disr	nissal of the				
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>							
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be They raise new issues that would require further core.	nsideration and/or search (see NOT		cause				
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better the application in better the application in the second control of the second contr		ducing or simplifying t	ne issues for				
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	porroananding number of finally rais	noted alaims					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	octod ciairris.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co.	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324)				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
Applicant's reply has overcome the billowing rejection(s). Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling non-allowable claim(s).							
 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided. 		l be entered and an e	xplanation of				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:							
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.				
The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:				
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).						
	/George R Evanisko/						
	Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 3762					
	,						

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Applicant has pointed out where there is support for estimating the accuracy of the prediction criteria and this "may be" indicative or representative of estimating the accuracy of the disordered breathing prediction.

Although the specification support for "estimating an accuracy of the prediction criteria" does not inherently or necessarily mean the Applicant has positively recited or disclosed an element, means or step to estimate an accuracy of the disorder breathing prediction. A system or method may just stop at estimating an accuracy of the prediction criteria and therefore NOT HAVE a further element of step to estimate an accuracy of the disordered breathing prediction. Regardless, an indication of representation does not inherently mean the Applicant has positively recited a step or structure to estimate an accuracy of disorder breathing prediction.